

Key points

- ▶ Prosodic headship has to do with structure building, not stress assignment
- ▶ “Stress” is in principle separate from headship, possibly something like a feature
- ▶ Recursion and the X' schema are useful inside the pword
- ▶ Prosodic constraints are only sensitive to immediate daughter, not parsing at any level
- ▶ Constraints on head vs. dependent complexity (Dresher & van der Hulst, 1998) are useful
- ▶ Consider adding maximum depth of embedding as a measure of complexity

Munster Irish stress


- ▶ Dialect of Corca Dhuibhne (Dingle) (Ó Sé, 2000, 2008)
- ▶ Three-syllable window word-initially
- ▶ Leftmost within the window with weight-sensitivity
- ▶ But #HH...is #HĤ...
- ▶ But not non-initial ...HH...

Examples

ĪĪ	'karigʲ	'stone'
LĤ	ka'pʲi:nʲ	'girl'
ĤĪ	'a:lɪnʲ	'nice'
HĤ	dʲi:'vi:nʲ	'idle'
ĪĪĪ	'kɫagərnəx	'clattering'
LĤĪ	kʲɪ'mʲa:dən	'(s)he observes'
LĤĤ	bʲi'hu:nti:xt	'villainy'
ĪĪĤ	kʲanə'hə:rʲ	'buyer'
ĤĪĪ	'ku:rəməx	'careful'
HĤĪ	o:'gə:nəx	'young man'
HĤĤ	u:'rə:ni:	'songs'
ĤĪĤ	'u:dərə:s	'authority'
ĪĪĪĪ	'arəməkəx	'tender'
ĪĪĪĤ	'imʲɪl'əkə:n	'navel'
ĪĪĤĤ	əmə'da:nti:xt	'foolishness'
ĤĪĪĤ	'oumpərə:ni:xt	'ungainliness'
ĤĪĪĪ	'u:dərə:fəx	'authoritative'

Analysis

- ▶ Head feet are always at the left edge
- ▶ Heads of feet are always at the left edge
- ▶ Complexity constraints:
 - ▶ BRANCHING COMPLEXITY: if a constituent has a head and a dependent, the head has more immediate daughters than the dependent
 - ▶ EMBEDDING COMPLEXITY: if a constituent has a head and a dependent, the deepest embedded terminal node dominated by the head must be embedded more deeply than the deepest terminal node dominated by the dependent
- ▶ In words with initial HH, embedding complexity is satisfied by *weight-sacrificing recursion*




- ▶ Since prosody is only sensitive to immediate daughters, the highlighted syllable is *light*
- ▶ WSP is defined on heavy syllables
- ▶ Prominence generally falls on the leftmost heavy syllable, which here is peninitial and dependent

Some evaluations for HH...

	HH	FFFORM	EMBCOMPL/Ft	WSP	BRCOMPL/Ft	PKLEFT	*RECURSION
a.	Wd Ft σ σ μ μ μ	*	*	*	*	*	*
b.	Wd Ft σ σ μ μ μ	*	*	*	*	*	*
c.	Wd Ft σ σ μ μ μ	*	*	*	*	*	*
d.	Wd Ft σ σ μ μ μ	*	*	*	*	*	*

Final ranking



Implications

- ▶ For Munster Irish:
 - ▶ Everything is left-headed, contra Green (1997)
 - ▶ Further work: if headedness is about structure, is this account consistent with vowel reduction data (if they are relevant)?
- ▶ More generally:
 - ▶ I suggest that divorcing headedness and prominence is fruitful
 - ▶ If headedness and prominence are separate, there are no headless feet, just feet with heads not associated with prominence
 - ▶ If “stress” does not imply headedness, unbounded feet are not needed?
 - ▶ Stress feet versus other feet: Downing (2006); Vaysman (2008); Buckley (2009)

Ask me about...

- ▶ Stress and epenthesis in Munster Irish
- ▶ Secondary stress
- ▶ The complete paper

References

Buckley, Eugene. 2009. Locality in metrical typology. *Phonology* 26(4). 389–425.
 Downing, Laura J. 2006. *Canonical forms in prosodic morphology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
 Dresher, Elan & Harry van der Hulst. 1998. Head-dependent asymmetries in prosodic phonology: visibility and complexity. *Phonology* 15(3). 317–352.
 Green, Anthony Dubach. 1997. *The prosodic structure of Irish, Scots Gaelic, and Manx*. Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University.
 Ó Sé, Diarmuid. 2000. *Gaeilge Chorca Dhuibhne*. Baile Atha Cliath: Institiúid Teangeolaíochta Éireann.
 Ó Sé, Diarmuid. 2008. Word stress in Munster Irish. *Éigse* 36. 87–112.
 Vaysman, Olga. 2008. *Segmental alternations and metrical theory*. Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA.